

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,388	02/05/2004	Jiansan Sun	70019152-1	6348	
22879	7590 12/21/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
110 00 1 1 .	PACKARD COMPAN	SOLOMON, LISA			
	400, 3404 E. HARMON JAL PROPERTY ADM		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	NS, CO 80527-2400		2861		

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- *
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/773,388	SUN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lisa M. Solomon	2861	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence addres	:s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON [*] the, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this commur BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 i	February 2 <u>004</u> .		
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application	ın.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 45/04 is/are: a) Acceptance of the second of		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	= ' '		.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority documer 	nts have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority documer		-	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	-	received in this National Stac	је
application from the International Burea			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	' 1
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		,

Application/Control Number: 10/773,388 Page 2

Art Unit: 2861

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-13 are drawn to a heating element and its combination, classified in class 347, subclass 86.
- II. Claims 14-19 are drawn to method of manufacture of a heating element, classified in class 347, subclass 205.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed in can be used to make a materially different product.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Art Unit: 2861

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa M. Solomon whose telephone number is (571) 272-2279. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David M. Gray can be reached on (571) 272-2119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,388

Page 4

Art Unit: 2861

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LMS 12-19-2005